

What's Your Option? Choosing Between Individual and Group Payer Contracts

HEALTH

INDIVIDUAL OR GROUP CONTRACT?

Not sure which option is best for your healthcare practice?

Evaluate payer requirements
Understand enrollment pathways

Optimize reimbursement opportunities
Simplify credentialing and contracting

Wade Health Consulting LLC

(909) 742-2450
info@wadehealthconsulting.com
wadehealthconsulting.com

Whether signing for an individual or group payer contract, this option depends on various factors which include intended growth, billing configuration and administrative capacity in line with insurance guidelines.

A payer sends over a participation agreement, feels bemused thinking whose name goes on it: physician's, or the practice's. This isn't simple paperwork, but arrangements around the long-term objective. That single choice shapes your rates, your cash flow, and how easily you can add a provider eighteen months from now.

Therefore, it is crucial to consider every aspect related to **individual vs group payer contracts**.

What's in the individual contract?

An individual (or solo) payer contract is between one clinician and one health plan. The provider is credentialed under their own NPI and typically their own Tax ID. They receive their own fee schedule, their own remittance setup, and their own effective date.

The appeal is portability. The contract belongs to the clinician, not a building. Move practices, pick up a second location, take on locum work, and the participation status travels along. For a genuine solo practitioner or a physician working across unaffiliated settings, that flexibility matters.

What lies in the group contract?

A group contract is between a legal entity, i.e., the practice, under its Type 2 NPI and group Tax ID, and the payer. Individual clinicians are then credentialed and linked to that master agreement through a roster.

The appealing points of this setup are leverage and scale. One negotiated fee schedule covers everyone. One remit. One renewal calendar. Adding a new hire becomes a roster change rather than a fresh negotiation from a blank page.

Where the contracting genuinely differs?

Contracting differs in a number of ways:

Negotiating power: Individual providers are usually offered a standard schedule and told it's standard. On the other hand, groups bring volume, geographic coverage, and quality data to the table. Negotiations feel better, including carve-outs and enhanced rates for specific services.

Onboarding speed: Linking a provider to an existing group agreement is generally faster than credentialing a new individual contract from scratch. In revenue terms, that difference is measured in weeks of billable time.

Closed panels: When a payer closes a panel, individual applications get declined. Adding that same clinician to an established group contract is frequently still possible, and sometimes the only possible route in.

Exit risk: A group contract stays with the entity. When a physician leaves, their participation doesn't follow them. An individual contract does. Depending on which side of that departure you're standing on, this is either protection or exposure.

Administrative load: Groups carry roster accuracy, directory obligations, and re-credentialing cycles at scale. Individuals carry less overhead but repeat the entire process with every payer, every time.

So, individual or group payer contracts?

The choice between **individual or group payer contracts** depends on the situation.

Individual contracting seems feasible for a true solo practitioner with no growth plan, working across multiple unaffiliated practices, or locum work. Individual contracts also work well when an individual wants participation status that stays theirs, regardless of employer.

Choose group contracting when you have two or more rendering providers under a shared Tax ID, you're hiring within the next year, you want real negotiating leverage on rates, you're moving toward value-based or quality-linked arrangements, or you need to bill ancillary services under the entity.